FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO.15 OF 2006
ALONG WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.19 OF 2006

Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders.	: : : : :	Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr. Anurag Jain for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

CORAM: SMT. RANJANA DESAI &
D.B. BHOSALE, JJ.

DATED: 13TH FEBRUARY, 2006.

P.C.:-

1. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. The order passed by the Commissioner, Food & Drugs Administration, confirming the cancellation of the appellant's licence was confirmed by the learned single judge by the order impugned in this appeal. The milk was

found adulterated with urea contents. In such circumstances, in our opinion, this is not a case where this court can interfere. The appeal will have to be, therefore, dismissed. The learned counsel for the appellant says that the authorities have no jurisdiction to seal the premises. If the premises are sealed and the appellant has any grievance about the sealing, the appellant may approach the concerned court for order regarding de-sealing and if such an application is made, the concerned court shall consider the same in accordance with law. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on that aspect of the matter. With the above observation, the appeal is dismissed.

3. In view of this, the civil application does not survive and is disposed of as such.

(SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.)

(D.B. BHOSALE, J.)